

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

<b>In re Application of:</b>	<b>Bourrieres and Kaiser</b>
<b>Application No.:</b>	<b>10/530093</b>
<b>Filed:</b>	<b>October 12, 2003</b>
<b>For:</b>	<b>IDENTIFICATION AND AUTHENTICATION PROCESS WITHOUT SPECIFIC READER OF AN IDENTIFIER ATTACHED TO AN OBJECT OR TO LIVING BEING</b>
<b>Examiner:</b>	<b>Mr. Gary Simonds</b>
<b>Group Art Unit:</b>	<b>2887</b>

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Docket No.: N48.2I-11807-US01

## INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM

On April 11, 2008, the undersigned interviewed Examiner Uyen Chau N. Le to request reconsideration of the decision in the March 20, 2008 Advisory Action not to enter the Amendment After Final, filed December 13, 2007, on the ground that the amendment would put the application in better condition for appeal. The undersigned argued that the merits of the Amendment had been reached in the comments provided in the March 20, 2008 Advisory Action. Those comments indicated that the parties were at impasse so an RCE should not be needed.

Examiner Uyen Chau N. Le consulted with her supervisor and called back the same day reporting that a second Advisory Action would be issued which stated that the Amendment After Final would be entered upon filing of an appeal, but that it did not put the application in condition for allowance.

The applicant thanks the Examiner and her supervisor for taking this step to facilitate resolution of the merits of the application.

Respectfully submitted,

VIDAS, ARRETT & STEINKRAUS

Date: April 14, 2008

By: /Walter J. Steinkraus/  
Walter J. Steinkraus  
Registration No.: 29592

Eden Prairie, MN 55344-7834

Telephone: (952) 563-3000

Facsimile: (952) 563-3001

f:\wpwork\wjs\11807us01\_and\_20080411.doc